Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel

Date: 6th September 2016

Wards: ALL

Subject: Epsom and St Helier University Hospital NHS Trust – Update on the Estates Strategy

Lead officer: Daniel Elkeles, Chief Executive Epsom and St Helier University Hospital NHS Trust

Lead member: Councillor Peter McCabe, Chair of the Healthier Communities and Older People overview and scrutiny panel.

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

A. That members comment on the developments within the Estates Strategy

Β.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. The Chief Executive of Epsom and St Helier will attend to provide an update on Epsom their Estate Strategy.

2 DETAILS

- 2.1. The Panel were given an overview of the Estate's Strategy in July 2015 and a further update in March 2016. Members were informed that the current buildings across all sites are old, physically crumbling and no longer fit for purpose. The consequences of this are wide ranging and effect the quality of care. Many of the departments are not situated in the correct place causing clinicians to have to travel across the sites. There is also a financial impact and an extra £1million is spent annually on some services due to the poor quality of the estate these include; cleaning, maintenance and energy costs.
- 2.2. To reconfigure the service on the current site would cost over £500m, therefore the Trust are considering a range of options. At the last meeting the Panel were informed that the Trust had begun community engagement activities in the local community to determine top priorities for a 21st Century hospital.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

3.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2016/17

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None relating to this covering report

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 10.1. None relating to this covering report
- 11 APPENDICES THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

•

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1.